

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/015,092	DAVIES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733	

All Participants:

(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.

(2) Mr. Karl Schwappach.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amending all independent claims (see Applicant's supplemental amendment for details) and to submit a terminal disclaimer to place the application in condition for allowance. Examiner also informed Counsel that, claim 66 can also be amended to require a thickness range of 0.004-0.02 inches for a reinforcing structure (also see Applicant's supplemental amendment for details).